

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

YOUNG YIL JO,	)	CASE NO. 8:12CV442
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	ORDER
	)	
BARACK OBAMA, Mr. President of	)	
the United States,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the court on its own motion. The above-captioned matter was provisionally filed on December 26, 2012. (Filing No. [1](#).) However, due to certain technical defects, the Complaint cannot be further processed. To assure further consideration of the Complaint, Plaintiff Young Yil Jo ("Plaintiff") must correct the defect listed below. **FAILURE TO CORRECT THE DEFECT MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE PETITION.**

Plaintiff has failed to include the \$350.00 filing fee. Plaintiff has the choice of either tendering the \$350.00 fee to the Clerk of the court or submitting a request to proceed in forma pauperis and an affidavit of poverty in support thereof. If Plaintiff chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper's forms should be completed and returned to this court. Plaintiff must also provide the court with a certified copy of his inmate trust account statement.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff is directed to correct the above-listed technical defect in the Complaint on or before March 20, 2013;
2. Failure to comply with this Order will result in dismissal of this matter as to Plaintiff without further notice;
3. The Clerk of the court is directed to send to Plaintiff the Form AO240, Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit; and

4. The Clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this matter with the following text: March 20, 2013: Check for MIFP or payment.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp  
Chief United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.